

# **Treasury Management Strategy Statement**

## Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy

---

2015/16

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. On occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

CIPFA defines treasury management as:

*“The management of the local authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”*

## 1.2 Reporting requirements

The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

**Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) - The first, and most important report covers:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

**A mid year treasury management report** – This will update members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.

**An annual treasury report** – This provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

### Scrutiny

The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by the Standards & Audit Committee.

---

### **1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2015/16**

The strategy for 2015/16 covers two main areas:

#### **Capital issues**

- the capital plans and the prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

#### **Treasury management issues**

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, CLG MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and CLG Investment Guidance.

### **1.4 Training**

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to members responsible for scrutiny. Training will be arranged as required.

The training needs of treasury management officers are periodically reviewed.

### **1.5 Treasury management consultants**

The Council uses Capita Asset Services, Treasury solutions as its external treasury management advisors.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon our external service providers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

---

## 2 THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2015/16 – 2017/18

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

### 2.1 Capital expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

| Capital expenditure<br>£000 | 2013/14<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <b>General Fund</b>         | 6,458             | 8,493               | 12,278              | 1,404               | 1,313               |
| <b>HRA</b>                  | 12,739            | 19,180              | 22,866              | 22,683              | 20,640              |
| <b>Total</b>                | 19,197            | 27,673              | 35,144              | 24,087              | 21,953              |

The table below summarises how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

| Capital expenditure<br>£000            | 2013/14<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Financed by:</b>                    |                   |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Capital receipts                       | 1,775             | 2,722               | 4,091               | 2,158               | 2,072               |
| Capital grants/contributions           | 4,865             | 9,402               | 4,798               | 685                 | 650                 |
| Capital reserves                       | -                 | 1,277               | 2,048               | 554                 | 463                 |
| Revenue                                | 9,937             | 10,918              | 20,572              | 20,690              | 18,768              |
| <b>Net financing need for the year</b> | <b>2,620</b>      | <b>3,354</b>        | <b>3,635</b>        | -                   | -                   |

### 2.2 The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the borrowing need in line with each assets life.

The CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. PFI schemes, finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the

Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council currently has no such schemes within the CFR.

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below. These projections exclude the loan from Sheffield City Region LEP for the £2.4m Waterside project.

| £000                                 | 2013/14<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Capital Financing Requirement</b> |                   |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| CFR – General Fund                   | 10,660            | 12,761              | 14,926              | 7,872               | 6,845               |
| CFR – HRA                            | 140,540           | 138,432             | 136,355             | 134,310             | 132,295             |
| <b>Total CFR</b>                     | 151,200           | 151,193             | 151,281             | 142,182             | 139,140             |
| <b>Movement in CFR</b>               | 163               | (7)                 | 88                  | (9,099)             | (3,042)             |

| <b>Movement in CFR represented by</b>      |         |         |         |         |         |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Net financing need for the year (above)    | 2,620   | 3,354   | 3,635   | -       | -       |
| Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements | (2,457) | (3,361) | (3,547) | (9,099) | (3,042) |
| <b>Movement in CFR</b>                     | 163     | (7)     | 88      | (9,099) | (3,042) |

### 2.3 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

CLG regulations have been issued which require the full Council to approve an **MRP Statement** in advance of each year.

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be:

- **Based on CFR** – MRP will be based on the CFR (option 2); but with the element relating to transferred debt based on the actual principal repaid to NEDDC

This option provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (CFR) each year.

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and finance leases) the MRP policy will be:

- **Asset life method** – MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction) (option 3);

These options provide for a reduction in the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life.

However, the annuity method will be used where it is anticipated that the benefits of the scheme will increase over time i.e. the debt repayments are lower in the early years and increase over time. The typical useful lives for various categories

of assets are shown in the table below, but will be assessed when each project is approved:

|                   | Asset Life<br>(years) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Land              | 50                    |
| Buildings         | 50                    |
| Infrastructure    | 40                    |
| Plant & Equipment | Up to 20              |
| Vehicles          | 5 to 7                |

Prudential borrowing will continue to be used for invest-to-save type schemes, even where assets lives might be quite short, provided the anticipated efficiency savings are sufficient to cover the MRP charges i.e.the investment is self financing.

The Council has the discretion to determine the debt repayment policy for the HRA. The Policy from April 2013 is to set aside a provision for debt repayment based on 1.5% of the Capital Financing Requirement. This policy will be reviewed in later years as the Business Plan develops.

#### 2.4 Core funds and expected investment balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year end balances for each resource and anticipated day to day cash flow balances.

| <b>Year End Resources<br/>£000</b> | <b>2013/14<br/>Actual</b> | <b>2014/15<br/>Estimate</b> | <b>2015/16<br/>Estimate</b> | <b>2016/17<br/>Estimate</b> | <b>2017/18<br/>Estimate</b> |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fund balances / reserves           | 22,346                    | 24,010                      | 17,357                      | 13,034                      | 11,696                      |
| Capital receipts                   | 429                       | -                           | -                           | -                           | 7,703                       |
| Provisions                         | 2,447                     | 2,553                       | 2,002                       | 1,431                       | 1,317                       |
| Other                              | 886                       | 800                         | 750                         | 700                         | 650                         |
| <b>Total core funds</b>            | <b>26,198</b>             | <b>27,363</b>               | <b>20,109</b>               | <b>15,165</b>               | <b>21,366</b>               |

#### 2.5 Affordability prudential indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an

---

indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

## 2.6 Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

| %            | 2013/14<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| General Fund | 4.53              | 4.76                | 4.62                | 5.12                | 1.67                |
| HRA          | 21.33             | 19.43               | 18.35               | 17.23               | 16.21               |

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in the budget report.

## 2.7 Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on council tax

This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated with proposed changes to the three year capital programme compared to the Council's existing commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates, such as the level of Government support, which are not published over a three year period.

### Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the band D council tax

| £                       | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Council tax -<br>band D |                     | 0.36                | 0.60                | 0.60                |

## 2.8 Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on housing rent levels

Similar to the council tax calculation, this indicator identifies the trend in the cost of proposed changes in the housing capital programme recommended in this budget report compared to the Council's existing commitments and current plans, expressed as a discrete impact on weekly rent levels.

### Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on housing rent levels

| £                             | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Weekly housing<br>rent levels | 0.01                | 0.13                | 0.40                | 0.72                |

This indicator shows the revenue impact on any newly proposed changes, although any discrete impact will be constrained by rent controls.

### 3 BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

#### 3.1 Current portfolio position

The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2014, with forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt (the treasury management operations), against the underlying capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

| £000                                     | 2013/14<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <b>External Debt</b>                     |                   |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Debt at 1 April                          | 146,742           | 145,016             | 140,584             | 135,159             | 133,245             |
| Expected change in Debt                  | (1,726)           | (4,432)             | (5,425)             | (1,914)             | (1,942)             |
| Other long-term liabilities (OLTL)       | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   |
| Expected change in OLTL                  | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   |
| <b>Actual gross debt at 31 March</b>     | 145,016           | 140,584             | 135,159             | 133,245             | 131,303             |
| <b>The Capital Financing Requirement</b> | 151,200           | 151,193             | 151,281             | 142,182             | 139,140             |
| <b>Under / (over) borrowing</b>          | 6,184             | 10,609              | 16,122              | 8,937               | 7,837               |

Within the prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2015/16 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The Chief Finance Officer reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in the budget report.



### 3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

**The operational boundary.** This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt.

| Operational boundary<br>£000 | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                              | 145,000             | 140,600             | 135,200             | 133,200             |

**The authorised limit for external debt.** A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. The Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

| Authorised limit £000 | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                       | 156,000             | 151,000             | 145,500             | 143,000             |

Separately, the Council is also limited to a maximum HRA CFR through the HRA self-financing regime. This limit is currently:

| HRA Debt Limit £000 | 2014/15<br>Estimate | 2015/16<br>Estimate | 2016/17<br>Estimate | 2017/18<br>Estimate |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| HRA debt cap        | 155,612             | 155,612             | 155,612             | 155,612             |
| HRA CFR             | 138,432             | 136,355             | 134,310             | 132,295             |
| HRA headroom        | 17,180              | 19,257              | 21,302              | 23,317              |

### 3.3 Prospects for interest rates

The Council has appointed Capita Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives our central view.

| Annual Average % | Bank Rate % | PWL Borrowing Rates %<br>(including certainty rate adjustment) |         |         |
|------------------|-------------|--|---------|---------|
|                  |             | 5 year   | 25 year | 50 year |
| Mar 2015         | 0.50        | 2.20   | 3.40    | 3.40    |
| Jun 2015         | 0.50        | 2.20   | 3.50    | 3.50    |
| Sep 2015         | 0.50        | 2.30   | 3.70    | 3.70    |
| Dec 2015         | 0.75        | 2.50   | 3.80    | 3.80    |
| Mar 2016         | 0.75        | 2.60   | 4.00    | 4.00    |
| Jun 2016         | 1.00        | 2.80   | 4.20    | 4.20    |
| Sep 2016         | 1.00        | 2.90   | 4.30    | 4.30    |
| Dec 2016         | 1.25        | 3.00   | 4.40    | 4.40    |
| Mar 2017         | 1.25        | 3.20   | 4.50    | 4.50    |
| Jun 2017         | 1.50        | 3.30   | 4.60    | 4.60    |
| Sep 2017         | 1.75        | 3.40   | 4.70    | 4.70    |
| Dec 2017         | 1.75        | 3.50   | 4.70    | 4.70    |
| Mar 2018         | 2.00        | 3.60   | 4.80    | 4.80    |

UK GDP growth surged during 2013 and the first half of 2014. Since then it appears to have subsided somewhat but still remains strong by UK standards and is expected to continue likewise into 2015 and 2016. There needs to be a significant rebalancing of the economy away from consumer spending to manufacturing, business investment and exporting in order for this recovery to become more firmly established. One drag on the economy has been that wage inflation has only recently started to exceed CPI inflation, so enabling disposable income and living standards to start improving. The plunge in the price of oil brought CPI inflation down to a low of 1.0% in November, the lowest rate since September 2002. Inflation is expected to stay around or below 1.0% for the best part of a year; this will help improve consumer disposable income and so underpin economic growth during 2015. However, labour productivity needs to improve substantially to enable wage rates to increase and further support consumer disposable income and economic growth. In addition, the encouraging rate at which unemployment has been falling must eventually feed through into pressure for wage increases, though current views on the amount of hidden slack in the labour market probably means that this is unlikely to happen early in 2015.

The US, the biggest world economy, has generated stunning growth rates of 4.6% (annualised) in Q2 2014 and 5.0% in Q3. This is hugely promising for the outlook for strong growth going forwards and it very much looks as if the US is now firmly on the path of full recovery from the financial crisis of 2008. Consequently, it is now confidently expected that the US will be the first major western economy to start on central rate increases by mid 2015.

The current economic outlook and structure of market interest rates and government debt yields have several key treasury management implications:

- Greece: the general election on 25 January 2015 is likely to bring a political party to power which is anti EU and anti austerity. However, if this eventually results in Greece leaving the Euro, it is unlikely that this will directly destabilise the Eurozone as the EU has put in place adequate firewalls to contain the immediate fallout to just Greece. However, the indirect effects of the likely

strengthening of anti EU and anti austerity political parties throughout the EU is much more difficult to quantify;

- As for the Eurozone in general, concerns in respect of a major crisis subsided considerably in 2013. However, the downturn in growth and inflation during the second half of 2014, and worries over the Ukraine situation, Middle East and Ebola, have led to a resurgence of those concerns as risks increase that it could be heading into deflation and prolonged very weak growth. Sovereign debt difficulties have not gone away and major concerns could return in respect of individual countries that do not dynamically address fundamental issues of low growth, international uncompetitiveness and the need for overdue reforms of the economy (as Ireland has done). It is, therefore, possible over the next few years that levels of government debt to GDP ratios could continue to rise to levels that could result in a loss of investor confidence in the financial viability of such countries. Counterparty risks therefore remain elevated. This continues to suggest the use of higher quality counterparties for shorter time periods;
- Investment returns are likely to remain relatively low during 2015/16 and beyond;
- Borrowing interest rates have been volatile during 2014 as alternating bouts of good and bad news have promoted optimism, and then pessimism, in financial markets. The closing weeks of 2014 saw gilt yields dip to historically remarkably low levels after inflation plunged, a flight to quality from equities (especially in the oil sector), and from the debt and equities of oil producing emerging market countries, and an increase in the likelihood that the ECB will commence quantitative easing (purchase of EZ government debt) in early 2015. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be carefully reviewed to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in later times, when authorities will not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance new capital expenditure and/or to refinance maturing debt;
- There will remain a cost of carry to any new borrowing which causes an increase in investments as this will incur a revenue loss between borrowing costs and investment returns.

### **3.4 Borrowing strategy**

The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is relatively high.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2015/16 treasury operations. The Head of Finance will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances.

Any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

The Council's overall core borrowing strategy is as follows:-

- To reduce the revenue costs of debt
-

- To manage the Council's debt maturity profile, leaving no one future year with a high level of repayments that might cause problems in re-borrowing
- To secure funding at the cheapest cost commensurate with future risk
- To reschedule debt in order to take advantage of potential savings as interest rates change. Any reschedule exercise will be considered in terms of the premiums and discounts on the General Fund and HRA.
- To manage the day to day cash flow of the Authority in order to, where possible, negate the need for short term borrowing.

The Chief Finance Officer will take the most appropriate form of borrowing depending on prevailing interest rates at the time. It is likely that short term fixed rates may provide lower cost opportunities in the short/medium term.

The option of postponing borrowing and running down investment balances will also be considered. This would reduce counterparty risk and offset the expected fall in investment returns.

Abnormally low interest rates are expected to continue during 2015. This provides a continuation of the current window of opportunity for local authorities to fundamentally review their strategy of undertaking new external borrowing.

Over the next three years, investment rates are expected to be below long term borrowing rates and so value for money considerations would indicate that value could best be obtained by avoiding new external borrowing and by using internal cash balances to finance new capital expenditure or to replace maturing external debt (referred to as internal borrowing). This would maximise short term savings.

However, short term savings by avoiding new long term external borrowing in 2015/16 will also be weighed against the potential for incurring additional long term extra costs by delaying unavoidable new external borrowing until later years when PWLB long term rates are forecast to be significantly higher.

### **Treasury management limits on activity**

There are three debt related treasury activity limits. The purpose of these are to restrain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of any adverse movement in interest rates. However, if these are set to be too restrictive they will impair the opportunities to reduce costs / improve performance. The indicators are:

- Upper limits on variable interest rate exposure. This identifies a maximum limit for variable interest rates based upon the debt position net of investments
- Upper limits on fixed interest rate exposure. This is similar to the previous indicator and covers a maximum limit on fixed interest rates;
- Maturity structure of borrowing. These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

The Council is asked to approve the following treasury indicators and limits:

---

| £m   | 2015/16      | 2016/17      | 2017/18      |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>Interest rate exposures</b>                                     | <b>Upper</b> | <b>Upper</b> | <b>Upper</b> |
| <b>Limits on fixed interest rates based on net debt</b>            | 50 – 100%    | 50 – 100%    | 50 – 100%    |
| <b>Limits on variable interest rates based on net debt</b>         | 0 – 50%      | 0 – 50%      | 0 – 50%      |
| <b>Maturity structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2015/16</b> |              |              |              |
|  | <b>Lower</b> | <b>Upper</b> |              |
| Under 12 months  | 0%           | 15%          |              |
| 12 months to 2 years   | 0%           | 15%          |              |
| 2 years to 5 years   | 0%           | 45%          |              |
| 5 years to 10 years  | 5%           | 75%          |              |
| 10 years and above   | 25%          | 95%          |              |

| <b>Treasury Management Local Indicators</b> | 2015/16        | 2016/17        | 2017/18        |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Investment returns:-                        |                |                |                |
| External fund manager                       | > 30 day LIBID | > 30 day LIBID | > 30 day LIBID |
| In-House                                    | > 7 day LIBID  | > 7 day LIBID  | > 7 day LIBID  |

### 3.5 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

### 3.6 Debt rescheduling

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

All rescheduling will be reported to the Cabinet, at the earliest meeting following its action.

## 4 ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

### Introduction: changes to credit rating methodology

The main rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's) have, through much of the financial crisis, provided some institutions with a ratings "uplift" due to implied levels of sovereign support. More recently, in response to the evolving regulatory regime, the agencies have indicated they may remove these "uplifts". This process may commence during 2014/15 and / or 2015/16. The actual timing of the changes is still subject to discussion, but this does mean immediate changes to the credit methodology are required.

It is important to stress that the rating agency changes do not reflect any changes in the underlying status of the institution or credit environment, merely the implied level of sovereign support that has been built into ratings through the financial crisis. The eventual removal of implied sovereign support will only take place when the regulatory and economic environments have ensured that financial institutions are much stronger and less prone to failure in a financial crisis.

Both Fitch and Moody's provide "standalone" credit ratings for financial institutions. For Fitch, it is the Viability Rating, while Moody's has the Financial Strength Rating. Due to the future removal of sovereign support from institution assessments, both agencies have suggested going forward that these will be in line with their respective Long Term ratings. As such, there is no point monitoring both Long Term and these "standalone" ratings.

Furthermore, Fitch has already begun assessing its Support ratings, with a clear expectation that these will be lowered to 5, which is defined as "A bank for which there is a possibility of external support, but it cannot be relied upon." With all institutions likely to drop to these levels, there is little to no differentiation to be had by assessing Support ratings.

As a result of these rating agency changes, the credit element of our future methodology will focus solely on the Short and Long Term ratings of an institution. Rating Watch and Outlook information will continue to be assessed where it relates to these categories. This is the same process for Standard & Poor's that we have always taken, but a change to the use of Fitch and Moody's ratings. Furthermore, we will continue to utilise CDS prices as an overlay to ratings in our new methodology.

### 4.1 Investment policy

The Council's investment policy has regard to the CLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance") and the revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the CIPFA TM Code"). The Council's investment priorities will be security first, liquidity second, then return.

In accordance with the above guidance from the CLG and CIPFA, and in order to minimise the risk to investments, the Council applies minimum acceptable credit criteria in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk.

Continuing regulatory changes in the banking sector are designed to see greater stability, lower risk and the removal of expectations of Government financial support should an institution fail. This withdrawal of implied sovereign support is anticipated to have an effect on ratings applied to institutions. This will result in the key ratings used to monitor counterparties being the Short Term and Long Term ratings only. Viability, Financial Strength and Support Ratings previously applied will effectively become redundant. This

---

change does not reflect deterioration in the credit environment but rather a change of method in response to regulatory changes.

As with previous practice, ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution and that it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To this end the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as “credit default swaps” and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.

Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed in appendix 5.1 under the ‘specified’ and ‘non-specified’ investments categories. Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council’s treasury management practices – schedules.

## 4.2 Creditworthiness policy

This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Capita Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody’s and Standard and Poor’s. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands (*please amend as appropriate*):

- Yellow 5 years \*
- Purple 2 years
- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 100 days
- No colour not to be used

| Y          | Pi1        | Pi2        | P          | B         | O         | R           | G             | N/C       |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1          | 1.25       | 1.5        | 2          | 3         | 4         | 5           | 6             | 7         |
| Up to 5yrs | Up to 5yrs | Up to 5yrs | Up to 2yrs | Up to 1yr | Up to 1yr | Up to 6mths | Up to 100days | No Colour |

The Capita creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency’s ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

The Council's bankers, the Co-operative Bank fall below the Council's minimum criteria. It was agreed in 2008, that the Bank be retained on the approved lending list but with a reduced overnight limit of £0.1m. The situation will be kept under review. The Council will transfer its banking arrangements to Lloyds bank in 2015.

All credit ratings will be monitored weekly. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of Capita creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on sovereign support for banks and the credit ratings of that supporting government.

### 4.3 Country limits

The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA- from Fitch. The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in Appendix 5.2. This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

### 4.4 Investment strategy

**In-house funds.** Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months).

**Investment returns expectations.** Bank Rate is forecast to remain unchanged at 0.5% before starting to rise from quarter 4 of 2015. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:

- 2015/16 0.75%
- 2016/17 1.25%
- 2017/18 2.00%

There are downside risks to these forecasts (i.e. start of increases in Bank Rate occurs later) if economic growth weakens. However, should the pace of growth quicken, there could be an upside risk.

---



The suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to 100 days during each financial year for the next eight years are as follows:

|             |       |
|-------------|-------|
| 2015/16     | 0.60% |
| 2016/17     | 1.25% |
| 2017/18     | 1.75% |
| 2018/19     | 2.25% |
| 2019/20     | 2.75% |
| 2020/21     | 3.00% |
| 2021/22     | 3.25% |
| 2022/23     | 3.25% |
| Later years | 3.50% |

The Council will avoid locking into longer term deals while investment rates are down at historically low levels unless attractive rates are available with counterparties of particularly high credit worthiness which make longer term deals worthwhile and within the risk parameters set by this council.

**Investment treasury indicator and limit** - total principal funds invested for greater than 364 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

| <b>Maximum principal sums invested &gt; 364 days</b> |                |                |                |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|  | <b>2014/15</b> | <b>2015/16</b> | <b>2016/17</b> |
| Principal sums invested > 364 days                   | 25%            | 25%            | 25%            |

For its cash flow generated balances, the Council will seek to utilise its business reserve instant access and notice accounts, money market funds and short-dated deposits (overnight to 3 months) in order to benefit from the compounding of interest.

#### **4.5 End of year investment report**

At the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

---

#### 4.6 External fund managers

Currently a proportion of the portfolio is externally managed on a discretionary basis by Investec Asset Management. Their forecast of net returns in 2015/16 (as at January 2015) is as follows:

|              | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Upper case   | 0.80%   | 1.14%   | 2.20%   |
| Central case | 0.80%   | 0.93%   | 1.87%   |
| Lower case   | 0.80%   | 0.68%   | 0.68%   |

A probability weighted rate has been used in preparing budgets:-

|         | Budget | Investec<br>Central<br>Case | Capita |
|---------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 2014/15 | 0.80%  | 0.80%                       | 0.60%  |
| 2015/16 | 0.85%  | 0.93%                       | 0.60%  |
| 2016/17 | 1.43%  | 1.87%                       | 1.25%  |

The Council's external fund manager will comply with the Annual Investment Strategy. The agreement between the Council and the fund manager additionally stipulate guidelines and duration and other limits in order to contain and control risk.

---

## 5 APPENDICES

1. Credit and counterparty risk management
  2. Approved countries for investments
  3. Treasury management scheme of delegation
  4. The treasury management role of the section 151 officer
-

## 5.1 APPENDIX: Credit & Counterparty Risk Management

**SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:** All such investments will be sterling denominated, with **maturities up to maximum of 1 year**, meeting the minimum 'high' quality criteria where applicable.

**NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:** These are any investments which do not meet the specified investment criteria. A maximum of 25% will be held in aggregate in non-specified investment

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made it will fall into one of the above categories.

### Specified Investments

The criteria applying to institutions or investment vehicles are shown below. These apply to both the in-house team and fund managers.

|  | * Minimum 'High' Credit Criteria               |
|--|--|
| Debt Management Agency Deposit Facility      | --   |
| Term deposits – local authorities            | --   |
| Term deposits – banks and building societies | Green  |
| Term deposits – banks and building societies | Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Support 1, 2 or 3 |
| UK part nationalised banks                   | Blue   |
| UK part nationalised banks                   | Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Support 1, 2 or 3 |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Certificates of deposit issued by banks and building societies covered by UK Government (explicit) guarantee   | Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Support 1, 2 or 3 |
| UK Government Gilts  | UK sovereign rating                            |
| Bonds issued by multilateral development banks   | AAA  |
| Bond issuance issued by a financial institution which is explicitly guaranteed by the UK Government (refers solely to GEFCO - Guaranteed Export Finance Corporation) | UK sovereign rating                            |
| Sovereign bond issues (other than the UK govt)   | AA-  |
| Treasury Bills   | AA-  |

| <b>Collective Investment Schemes structured as Open Ended Investment Companies (OEICs): -</b> |     |
|---|-----|
| Government Liquidity Funds  | AA- |
| Money Market Funds  | AA- |
| Bond Funds  | AA- |
| Gilt Funds  | AA- |

### **Counterparty & Group Limits**

Investments with each individual counterparty should not exceed £5m. The sum of investments with individual counterparties who belong to the same banking group, shall not exceed £7.5m.

### **Fund Manager Limits**

The total investments with an individual counterparty should not exceed 20% of the portfolio total.

### **Accounting treatment of investments**

The accounting treatment may differ from the underlying cash transactions arising from investment decisions made by this Council. To ensure that the Council is protected from any adverse revenue impact, which may arise from these differences, we will review the accounting implications of new transactions before they are undertaken.

**NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:** A maximum of 25% will be held in aggregate in non-specified investment.

|  | Minimum Credit Criteria                                  | Use                      | Max % of total investment | Max. maturity period |
|--|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|  |  |                          | 25% In total              |                      |
| Term deposits – UK government                                  |  | In-house & Fund Managers |                           | 3 years              |
| Term deposits – local authorities                              |  | In-house                 |                           | 3 years              |
| Term deposits – banks and building societies                   | Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Support 1,2,3 or equivalent | In-house & Fund Managers |                           | 3 years              |
| Certificates of deposit issued by banks and building societies | Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Support 1,2,3 or equivalent | In-house & Fund Managers |                           | 3 years              |
| Local Authority Mortgage Scheme**                              | Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Support 1,2,3 or equivalent | In-house                 | £1m                       | 7 years              |
| UK Government Gilts  | UK sovereign rating                                      | In-house & Fund Managers |                           | *                    |
| Bonds issued by multilateral development banks                 | AAA  | In-house & Fund Managers |                           | 3 years              |
| Sovereign bond issues (other than the UK govt)                 | AAA  | In-house & Fund Managers |                           | 3 years              |
| UCITS-compliant Unit Trusts                                    | ***  | In-house                 |                           |                      |
| Open Ended Investment companies (OEIC)                         | ***  | In-house                 |                           |                      |

\*No individual limit on gilts but instead the portfolio as a whole to operate within an average maturity limit of 3 years

\*\*The Council established a **Local Authority Mortgage Scheme** during 2013/14 which is delivered through the Lloyds Banking Group with an initial deposit of £1m for up to 7 years.

\*\*\*Further work is required to identify the limitations on both exposure and the risk inherent in individual funds, the latter being determined by reference to a fund's risk score.

## 5.2 APPENDIX: Approved countries for investments

Based on lowest available rating

### AAA

- Australia
- Canada
- Denmark
- Germany
- Luxembourg
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

### AA+

- Finland
- Hong Kong
- Netherlands
- U.K.
- U.S.A.

### AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France
- Qatar

### AA-

- Belgium
- Saudi Arabia

### **5.3 APPENDIX: Treasury management scheme of delegation**

#### **(i) Full Council**

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy.

#### **(ii) Cabinet**

- approval of/amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices;
- budget consideration and approval;
- approval of the division of responsibilities;
- receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;
- approving the selection of external service providers and agreeing terms of appointment.

#### **(iii) Standards & Audit Committee**

- reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the responsible body.

### **5.4 APPENDIX: The treasury management role of the section 151 officer**

#### **The S151 (responsible) officer**

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.